



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/342,917	06/30/1999	HIROAKI SUGIURA	862.2900	7289	
5514 FITZPATRICH	7590 12/21/2006 C CELLA HARPER & S	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			WANG, JIN CHENG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/342,917	SUGIURA, HIROAKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jin-Cheng Wang	2628		

	Jin-Cheng Wang	2628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	( )				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· ·	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,5 and 24-28</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attack	ned.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
See below.		( ) +	_			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	V/1/2				
13.		PUIU				
	SUPERVIS	KEE M. TUNG SORY PATENT EXA	MINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)



## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of Item 11:

Claim 26:

Claim 26 applies a computer program as part of a seemingly patentable apparatus, however, claim 26 in reality seeks patent protection for the computer program as evidenced by claim 27 in the abstract. Computer program per se is neither computer components nor statutory process. Thus, claim 26 is non-statutory.

Additionally, since claim 26 includes a 101 judicial exception, claim 26 must be for a practical application of the judicial exception. As is, claim 26 failed to recite either a physical transformation or produces a useful and tangible result. Thus, claim 26 is also non-statutory for this reason.

Claim 24 is non-statutory for the same reasons discussed above.

Claims 27-28:

Additionally, since claim 27 includes a 101 judicial exception, claim 27 must be for a practical application of the judicial exception. As is, claim 27 failed to recite either a physical transformation or produces a useful and tangible result. Thus, claim 27 is also non-statutory for this reason.

Claim 28 is non-statutory for the same reasons discussed above.